

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/853,323	05/08/97 T	ALIEH H	

C2M1/0226

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE

OP BOX 10395
CHICAGO IL 60610

C2M1/0226

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/853,323

Talieh et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3203

'	

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance excellent in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever allure to respond within the period for response will cause the stensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-32 and 34	is/are rejected.
X Claim(s) 33 and 35	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	rawing Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED cor	pies of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Seria	
received in this national stage application from	m the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
	per No(s). 4
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	TO-948
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION	N ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/853,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 3203

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-10 of U. S. Patent No. 5,692,947 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a wafer polishing machine for CMP planarization of a surface of a semiconductor wafer

Serial Number: 08/853,323 Page 3

Art Unit: 3203

using a linear polishing assembly. The linear polishing assembly includes a metal belt and a polishing layer mounted on the belt.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by David'474.

The endless abrasive belt broadly equates to a polishing pad mounted on a belt because the abrasive layer coated on the belt is inherently considered as the polishing pad.

5. Claims 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Publication'256.

With reference to column 3, lines 20-29, the slurry on the soft artificial leather belt is inherently considered as polishing layer or pad.

Page 4

Serial Number: 08/853,323

Art Unit: 3203

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 33 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 6.

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 7.

should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0163. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM-3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr.

Scherbel, can be reached at (703) 308-1272. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-3579.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the

Group receptionist at (703) 308-1148.

George Nguyen

2/9/98

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER

TART UNIT 323